$\label{eq:Data-sheet-cycle-1} \textbf{Data sheet-cycle 1} \quad \textbf{Reviewing the use of anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation}$

| Patient with atrial fibrillation | A. Patient is | B. Patient is not taking a DOAC | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | taking a DOAC (dabigatran or rivaroxaban) | i. Due to a documented contraindication | ii. As they do not require one, based on a review of their stroke risk, e.g. a documented CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score within the last 12 months | iii. Due to patient preference, i.e. anticoagulation was recommended based on their current stroke risk but after an informed discussion within the last 12 months, treatment was declined | iv. As they are taking warfarin instead AND there is clinical justification, e.g. CrCl < 30mL/min, high HAS-BLED score, prosthetic heart valve, mitral stenosis, pregnancy | Flagged for review i.e. No tick in Box A or Box B |
| 1 | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | |
| Data Summary | | | | | | |

$\label{eq:Datasheet-cycle2} \textbf{Data sheet-cycle 2} \quad \text{Reviewing the use of anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation}$

| Patient with atrial fibrillation | A. Patient is | B. Patient is not taking a DOAC | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| | taking a DOAC (dabigatran or rivaroxaban) | i. Due to a documented contraindication | ii. As they do not require one, based on a review of their stroke risk, e.g. a documented CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score within the last 12 months | iii. Due to patient preference, i.e. anticoagulation was recommended based on their current stroke risk but after an informed discussion within the last 12 months, treatment was declined | iv. As they are taking warfarin instead AND there is clinical justification, e.g. CrCl < 30mL/min, high HAS-BLED score, prosthetic heart valve, mitral stenosis, pregnancy | Flagged for review i.e. No tick in Box A or Box B |
| 1 | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | |
| Data Summary | Number of patients flagged for review target = 0% | | | | | |



SUMMARY SHEET

Audit of medical practice (CQI activity)

| Topic: Reviewing the use of anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation | Date: | | | | | | |
|---|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Activity designed by (name of organisation, if relevant): | | | | | | | |
| Bpac ^{nz} | | | | | | | |
| Doctor's name: | | | | | | | |
| Results discussed with peer group or colleagues? | Date: | | | | | | |
| Yes No | | | | | | | |
| FIRST CYCLE | | | | | | | |
| DATA: Date of data collection: | | | | | | | |
| CHECK: Describe any areas targeted for improvement as a result of analysing the data collected. (If the findings have any implications for health equity, please include this.) ACTION: Describe how these improvements will be implemented. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| MONITOR: Describe how well the process is working. When will you undertake a second cycle? | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |